Call to Order/Roll Call: President Tim Canney called the meeting to order. Roll call was taken. Present were President Tim Canney, Bart Banwart, Kim Roby, and Vice President Kelli Book. Rod Collins arrived at 6:05.

Phase II Stadium Building Discussion: Tom Wollan and Vassil Petrov from FRK presented a proposed timeline and four different options for the stadium building. Options are being considered to keep costs down, as sales tax dollars may be necessary for a future building project. If the Board is ready for approval at the next Board meeting, the process could be started immediately with an occupancy target date in the fall of 2017. Another timeline proposed if more time is needed for planning, would have the process start in May of 2017 with an occupancy target date in May of 2018. The first building option was the least costly as it would replicate the current building functionality and construction type. The second option would be approximately 580 additional square feet with a wood frame construction and more commercial-grade material. The third option was larger with 2,640 square feet, masonry construction, and asphalt shingles. This option also included relocating the driveway. Option #4 was the most costly but could be built in stages. The base building would be 1,980 square feet and have a metal roof with a separate vehicular and pedestrian entrance. The alternates could be team suites and a visitors’ concession. Due to the materials used, the first two options would have a shorter life span (15-20 years) whereas the third and fourth options would have a 50-year life span. All of the plans included additional site work. The board discussed the various options and expressed concerns on the costs, the current and future needs of the stadium building, and future needs of the SILO (SAVE) Fund dollars. The board discussed having a metal building with some masonry which would be similar to the layout of the third option and to have site work as shown in the fourth option but eliminating the additional driveway.

Other: Superintendent Dufoe shared the tax abatement FAQ document from the Economic Development Commission. They have requested input on how the school district is affected by the tax abatement. The board discussed concerns that the rate of growth will exceed the projections that informed the recent additions at all four buildings.
in the next 3-5 years. The district's bonding capacity may lag significantly behind the need for more classrooms, including the possibility of a new elementary school requiring the creation of attendance area boundaries. Closing open enrollment has an impact on the budget as the district receives the per pupil funding from the “sending’ district. ADM has been committed to low class sizes, especially in the lower grades. This rate of growth will make it exceedingly difficult to keep class sizes in the acceptable range.

At 7:38 p.m., President Canney adjourned the meeting.